

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION		
10/054,772 01/22/2002		Joseph R. Armstrong	MP/154A	9021	
75	12/29/2003	EXAMINER			
Wayne D. Hou	use	SWEET, THOMAS			
W. L. Gore & A		ADTIBUT	DADED MARCE		
551 Paper Mill	Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 9206			3738		
Newark, DE 1	19714-9206	DATE MAILED: 12/29/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						A			
٠. ٢			Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)	C			
•		10/054,772	•	ARMSTRONG ET AL.					
•	Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
			Thomas J S		3738				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appe	ears on the d	cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	ddress			
THE   - Extermination after - If the - If NC - Failure - Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI INSIGNS of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. 0) days, a reply valutory period wi will, by statute, o	6(a). In no even within the statute ill apply and will cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tir ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of the (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ily. communication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>19 No</u>	vember 20	<u>03</u> .					
2a)⊠	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1.11.21.31.41 and 42 is/are rejected.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 2-10.12-20.22-30 and 32-40 is/are objected to.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>								
Applicat	ion Papers								
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected to any objected to by the specific production of the specific production is objected to be specified to by the specified to by the specified to be sp	: a) ☐ acce ection to the c g the correcti	epted or b)[ drawing(s) be ion is require	e held in abeyance. Se d if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 C				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12)□ a) 13)□ a 3 3 14)⊠ a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action Acknowledgment is made of a claim to since a specific reference was included TOFR 1.78.  A) The translation of the foreign late Acknowledgment is made of a claim to the foreign late Acknowledgment is made of a claim to the first sentence was included in the first sentence.	documents documents of the prior onal Bureau on for a list of for domestic ed in the firs nguage pro- for domestic	s have beer ity docume (PCT Rule of the certific priority unst sentence visional appoint priority under the certific priority under the certif	n received. In received in Applications have been received 17.2(a)). It is described to the specification of the specification of the 35 U.S.C. § 120 of the Specification of the 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 of the specification has been respectively.	tion No red in this National ed. (e) (to a provision or in an Application ceived. 0 and/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific			
2) 🔲 Noti	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F				y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 10/054,772

Art Unit: 3738

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 11, 21, 31 and 41-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thornton et al (US 6015431). Thornton et al discloses an endoprosthesis assembly comprising an implantable endoprosthesis in the form of a self-expanding stent (col 9, 161), a generally tubular delicate constraining sheath made of ePTFE (col 12, 14-6) with means for disruption (132) initiated by the application of a distending force. However, Thornton et al does not disclose using a packaging sheath. It is common knowledge in the prior art to package equipment in the art of surgical instruments for the purpose of keeping the equipment clean and sterile prior to use. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to package the endoprosthesis assembly of Thornton et al in order to keep it clean and sterile prior to use.

With regards to claims 41 and 42, the method limitation of storing at a temperature of less than 5 degrees C for 30 or 60 days imparts no structural limitation on the product of an endoprosthesis assembly, so the endoprosthesis assembly of Thornton et al structurally meets these claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/054,772

Art Unit: 3738

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 11-12, filed 11/19/03, with respect to claims 2-10, 12-20, 22-30, and 32-40 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 USC § 103 of claims 2-10, 12-20, 22-30, and 32-40 has been withdrawn. Claims 2-10, 12-20, 22-30, and 32-40 establish a structural degree of delicateness of the constraining sheath not disclosed or rendered obvious by the prior art of record. Claims 1, 11, 21, 31 and 41-42 remain rejected under the original rejection, since these claims do not establish a degree of delicateness beyond the scope of the prior art of Thornton et al.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-10, 12-20, 22-30, and 32-40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/054,772

Art Unit: 3738

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas J Sweet whose telephone number is (703) 308-4018. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am - 5:00pm, M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine M McDermott can be reached on (703) 308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-2708.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

tjs

David H. Willse Primary Examiner